

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for conducting a telephone interview on June 29, 2010.

During the interview, the following was discussed:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None.
2. Identification of claims discussed: 26, 29-35, and 40-42.
3. Identification of art discussed: Brenner et al. (US 5,552,278).
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: Amendments to independent claim 26 were discussed.
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: Novelty of claims over the cited art based on limitations in the claims.
6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: None.
7. Results of Interview: Examiner agreed with Applicant that Brenner *et al.* did not anticipate the proposed claims.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.